

$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Big-Or back to nearest points} & & & \\ \mbox{for a vertex, function} & & \\ \mbox{of a vertex, function} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\ \mbox{if a is a sequence of points} & & \\$ Introduction Preliminaries Asymptotic analysis Big-O examples linear search *•* What is the worst-case running time? 2. 2 assignments 3. 2n comparisons, n increment 7. ¹ return statemnt ^T(n) = 3n ⁺ ³⁼ ^O(n) *•* What is the average-case running time? 2. 2 assignments 3. 2(n/2) comparisons, n/2 increment, 1 return ^T(n) = 3/2n ⁺ ³⁼ ^O(n) *•* What about best case? ^O(1) [Note: do not confuse the big-O with the worst case analysis.](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YX40hbAHx3s) Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 25 / 31 **1 def** linear_search(seq, val):

2 i, n = 0, len(seq)

3 while i < n:

1 f seq[i] = val:

8 return i

7 return Mone

7 return Mone T(n) = 3 + (1+2+3 + ... + n - 1) × 4 + 1

= 4 × $\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ + 4 = 2n² - 2n + 4

- O(n²) Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 24 / 31 Introduction Preliminaries Asymptotic analysis Recursive example Recursive binary search Introduction Preliminaries Asymptotic analysis Why asymptotic analysis is important? 'maximum problem size' $\bullet\,$ Counting is not easy, but realize that $\,$ T(n) $=$ c $+$ T(n/2) m positom sav can solve a predelem of size m in a given time on current hardware
Ve get a better computer, which runs 1024 times faster
We predelem size we can solve in the same time
 $\frac{\text{Complexity}}{\text{Complexity}}$ meet predelem size we $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{; } \mbox{dist} \; \min\{\mathbf{a}, \; \mathbf{x}_1 \; \mbox{Leb}, \; \mathbf{R}\mbox{--} \mathbf{n}\}: \\ \mbox{if} \; \; \mbox{Leb} \; \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mbox{if} \; \; \mbox{Leb} \; \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mbox{if} \; \; \mbox{if} \; \; \mbox{if} \; \; \mbox{if} \; \; \mbox{if} \; \mbox{if$ t de l'apté, p. 5-10 ;

(Te) = c'h (Te) 2) ;

(Te) = c'h → M - 1)
00:
→ 1, R)
→ 1, R) $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{The exponential (2^n)} & $m+10$ \\ \textbf{A is not elements} & \textbf{B is a given by the original and exponential \\ \textbf{A is not a exponential algorithm fast between does not help the probability.} & \textbf{B is a given by the standard distribution.} \\ & \textbf{B is a given by the standard distribution of the standard deviation.} \\ & \textbf{B is a given by the standard deviation of the standard deviation.} \end{tabular}$ Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 27 / 31 Introduction Preliminaries Asymptotic analysis Worst case and asymptotic analysis pros and cons Big-O relatives *•* Big-O (upper bound): ^f(n) is ^O(g(n)) if ^f(n) is asymptotically *less than or equal to* ^g(n) $^{\circ}$ We typically compare algorithms based on their worst-case performance pro $^{\circ}$ its esset, and we get 4 (very) alter generates we know the significant value of the state and the state of the state of the state of $f(n) \leqslant cg(n)$ for $n > n_0$ **•** Big-Omega (lower bound): $f(n) \leqslant c g(n)$ for $n > n_0$
if $f(n)$ is asymptotically *greater than or equal to* $g(n)$ if f(n) is asymptotically *greater* fhan or opad to g(n)
 $f(n) \geq c g(n)$ for n > n₀

• Big-Theta (upper/lower bound): f(n) is Θ(g(n))

if f(n) is asymptotically *qual* to g(n)
 $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ and f(n) is $Ω(g(n))$ Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 28 / 31 Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 29 / 31 Introduction Preliminaries Asymptotic analysis International Preliminaries Asymptotic analysis

T(n) = n² + 3n is Θ(n²)

(n) = n² + 3n is Θ(n²) Summary
 $\begin{tabular}{p{0.8cm}} \textbf{Summary} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{2} & \textbf{3} & \textbf{4} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{6} & \textbf{6} & \textbf{7} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{9} \\ \textbf{2} & \textbf{3} & \textbf{4} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{6} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{6} & \textbf{7} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{7} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{9} \\ \$ $\hbox{O}\,$ for c $=$ 2 and
 $\frak{n}_\frak{0}=3$ $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ $2 \times n^2$ $T(n) \leqslant c q(n)$ for $n > n_0$ n² + 3n $40 -$ ¹ *[×]* ⁿ² $\Omega~$ for $c=1$ and $n_0=0$ \tilde{E} $T(n) \geqslant c g(n)$ for $n > n_0$ 20 Θ for c = 2, n₀ = 3, c' = 1 and n₁['] = 0 $c = 2$, $n_0 = 3$, $c' = 1$ and $n'_1 = 0$
 $T(n) \leqslant c g(n)$ for $n > n'_0$ and
 $T(n) \geqslant c' g(n)$ for $n > n'_0$ $^{\circ}$ 0 1 2 3 4 5 n Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 30 / 31 A(nother) view of computational complexity P, NP, NP-complete and all that Acknowledgments, credits, references - A major division of complexity classes according to Big-O notation is between $\mathcal V$ polynomial time algorithms and the state of the computer system of the AMg question in computing is whether P \sim NP and time scale con Goodrich, Michael T., Roberto Tamassia, and Michael H. Goldwasser (2013). *Data Structures and Algorithms in Python*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated. ISBN: 9781118476734. Video from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YX40hbAHx3s Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 A.1 Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 A.2 Recurrence relations
the master theorem Exercise Sort the functions based on asymptotic order of growth *•* Given a recurrence relation: $T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$ $\begin{array}{r} \log n^{1000} \\ n \log(n) \\ \qquad \qquad 5^n \\ \log n \\ \log n \\ \log 2^n/n \\ \log n! \\ \log 2^n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \log 5^n\\ \left(n\right)\\ \log\log n!\\ \sqrt{n}\\ n^2\\ 2^n\\ \left(n\right)\\ 2 \end{array}$ $$\backslash$$ b $$\backslash$$ reduction factor or the input $$\backslash$$ b $$\backslash$$ reduction factor or the input $$\backslash$$ f(n) amount of work for creating and combining sub-problems $\begin{cases} \mathsf{G}(n^{\log_n\,a}) & \text{if } f(n) \text{ is } \mathsf{O}(n^{\log_n\,a-\epsilon}) \\ \Theta(n^{\log_n\,a}\log n) & \text{if } f(n) \text{ is } \Theta(n^{\log_n\,a}) \\ \Theta(f(n)) & \text{if } f(n) \text{ is } \mathsf{O}(n^{\log_n\,a+\epsilon}) \text{ and } \mathsf{af}(n/b) \leqslant \varepsilon f(n) \text{ for some } c < 1 \end{cases}.$

 $\begin{aligned} \left\{ \Theta(f(n)) \qquad &\text{if } f(n) \text{ is } \Omega(n^{\log_2 n + \epsilon}) \text{ and } \alpha f(n) \theta) \leqslant \sigma(f(n) \text{ for some } \epsilon < 1 \\ \bullet \text{ In many practical cases } \alpha = \mathbb{b} \text{ (simplifies the expressions above)} \\ \bullet \text{ But the theorem is not general for all recurrences: it requires } \\ \text{C Given } \text{ with } \gamma \text{-times, with } \gamma \text{-times,$

Ç. Çöltekin, SfS / University of Tübingen Winter Semester 2024/25 A.3

